

Pappas Telecasting Company uses the public airwaves free of charge and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. Pappas's 'donation' of \$325,000 in airtime to Republican candidates in certain areas is yet another example of a powerful media group abusing its privileged access to those public airwaves.

Pappas' actions are legally questionable and cast doubt on whether Pappas truly intends to serve the public interest. Their actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. Further, they show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than just a returned postcard.

The FCC is required to serve the public interest as well. What purpose does the FCC serve if not to hold its constituents accountable to tenets of fairness? We are hereby holding the FCC accountable.

Thank you.